DRAWING AMENDMENTS

In the Drawing:

The drawings were objected to because some of the referenced characters were not mentioned in the description and Figures 7-10 were unreadable. The Applicants attach Replacement sheets 1-8 containing Figures 1-10 to replace all prior versions of drawings. Applicants provide formal replacement drawings to be entered if accepted by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added.

REMARKS

Applicants have carefully reviewed this Application in light of the Office Action mailed November 3, 2005. Applicants have cancelled Claims 2 and 23 without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1, 3-22 and 24-33 are pending in this Application. Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), Claims 1, 3, 4, 21, 22, 29 and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and Claims 15, 16 and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 2, 5-14, 17-20, 23-26, 28 and 31-33 were objected to as being dependant upon a rejected base claim. Claims 1 and 21 have been amended to further define various features of Applicants' invention. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and favorable action in this case.

Oath/Declaration Objection

The Declaration was considered defective by the Examiner as failing to identify the citizenship of each inventor. Applicants submit and enclose an executed Supplemental Declaration in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.67 and respectfully request reconsideration by the Examiner.

Specification Objections

The specification was objected to by the Examiner because of informalities. Applicants have amended the specification to overcome these objections and respectfully request reconsideration of the specification as amended.

Objections under 37 CFR § 1.83(a)

The Examiner objected to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 CFR § 1.84(p)(5) because they included referenced characters not mentioned in the description and because Figures 7-10 were unreadable. Applicants have resubmitted Figures 7-10 and request reconsideration of the drawings.

Applicants have added Paragraph [0032a] and amended paragraph [0037] of the specification to include discussion of referenced characters 430, 510, QDR SRAM 3, QDR SRAM 2, QDR SRAM 1, and QDR SRAM 0. Applicants submit that the numeral 19 appearing in Figure 5 and the numerals 36 appearing in Figure 6 are not reference characters and are instead notations indicating the bit-widths of data busses, as such notation is known

in the art. Thus, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner withdraw the objections to the drawings.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate Examiner's consideration that Claims 2, 5-14, 17-20, 23-26, 28 and 31-33 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants have amended Claim 1 to include the limitations of allowable Claim 2 and have amended Claim 21 to include the limitations of allowable Claim 23. Given that Claims 3-20 depend from Claim 1, and Claims 22 and 24-33 depend from Claim 21, Applicants submit that Claims 3-20, 22 and 24-31 are allowable. As such, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner allow Claims 1, 3-22 and 24-33.

Association of Customer Number and Change of Correspondence Address

Applicants respectfully request that all papers pertaining to the above-captioned patent application be associated with Customer No. 31625, and direct all correspondence pertaining to this patent application to practitioners at Customer Number 31625. All telephone calls should be directed to Paula D. Heyman at 512.322.2581. A copy of the Change of Correspondence Address filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on August 17, 2005, is enclosed along with a copy of the return postcard evidencing receipt by the USPTO.

CONCLUSION

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's careful review of the application. Applicants have made an earnest effort to place this case in condition for allowance in light of the amendments and remarks set forth above. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejections and full allowance of Claims 1, 3-22 and 24-33.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the \$60 Extension Fee and any additional fees necessary or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2148 of Baker Botts L.L.P.

If there are any matters concerning this Application that may be cleared up in a telephone conversation, please contact Applicants' attorney at 512.322.2581.

> Respectfully submitted, BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. Attorney for Applicants

Paula D. Heyman Reg. No. 48,363

Date: Mar. 3 2006

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

CUSTOMER NO. 31625

512.322.2581

512.322.8383 (fax)

Enclosures:

- 1) Replacement Drawing sheets 1-8.
- Supplemental Declaration. 2)
- A copy of the Change of Correspondence Address filed 8/17/05, along 3) with a copy of the postcard receipt.

16

APPENDIX



the state of the s	
	1.
The Trademark Office imprinted hereon acknowledges the filing of the tiling of the filing of the tiling of the til	and the
Verified Statement (: Page(s)) The statement (: Page(s)) The statement (: Page(s)) The statement (: Page(s)) The statement (: Page(s))	E E E
Page(S)	
☐ Informal/Formal Drawing(s) (Page(s)) ☐ Issue Fee Transmittal (Page(s)) ☐ Check NoAmt.: \$	
Declaration(s)/Fowersted	
☐ Executed ☐ Onexecuted ☐ Check to ☐ Check	
Assignment w of Assignment w o	
Property with the state of the	- 4
Non-Publication Request (_ Page(s))	
P Serial No. 2009	
Network Processor Interface System	35 1
Système PBR File No.: 069099:0103	8
- Park the state of the state of Mailing, we have the state of the sta	
Mailed: 8 6 05 Certificate of Walling Mailed: 8 Express Mail Rcpt. No.: EV351257074US	
Fig. Due: The first section of the Class Mail in the section of th	7.76
Atty://Secy:: PDH/af	ا مار در اور اور
The strategy party of the strategy of the table from the strategy property and the strategy property and the strategy of the strategy property and t	